



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450	
www.uspto.gov	

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,401	11/28/2003	Koji Aoki	000409-072	7190
21839 7	590 08/04/2006		EXAM	INER
	I, INGERSOLL & ROON	RUTLAND WALLIS, MICHAEL		
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, ibb/ii ii ibid	11, 111 22313 110		2835	
			DATE MAILED: 08/04/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<del></del>	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Commence	10/722,401	AOKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Michael Rutland-Wallis	2835
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Ju</li> <li>This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ol>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-10,13 and 14 is/are pending in the day of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-2, 5-10 and 13-14 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	vn from consideration.	
<u> </u>	_	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 28 November 2003 is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	re: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

## Page 2

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

In view of applicant's arguments and amendments made to the claims the previous 112 2<sup>nd</sup> rejections and drawing objections are hereby withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments and amendments directed to the 102(b) rejection as anticipated by Van den Boom are persuasive therefore the 102(b) rejection is hereby withdrawn.

Applicant's arguments beginning in the third paragraph of page 8 or the Remarks applicant alleges the disclosure of Okada does not make up for the deficiencies pointed out with respect to Van den Boom. The office contends is not the case as Okada teaches the newly amended features of claim 1. Therefore a new rejection, Van den Boom in view of Okada is found below.

Additionally Janssen (U.S. Pat. No. 6,617,961) is informatively cited see column 2 lines 3-25 as an alternate teaching of applicant newly amended limitations.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 2835

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8-10 and 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van den Boom et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,075,294) in view of Okada et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,552,649)

With respect to claims 1 and 5 Van den Boom teaches a vehicle door operation system for operating a first door and a second door provided to a vehicle, comprising: a sending means (conducting lines item 17 and 19 for example seen in Fig. 1) provided to either the first door or the second door for sending a request signal (data signal); a portable station (item 20) for sending an ID information in response to the request signal; a receiving means (item 15) provided to the vehicle for receiving the ID information sent from the portable station; a judging means (Van den Boom teaches comparing and determining the correct ID operation is performed within the controller see column 4 lines line 18-31) provided to the vehicle for judging whether or not the ID information sent from the portable station is correct; an object detecting means (item 30) for example) provided to the first door for detecting an object; an opening operation means (item 13) provided to the second door and operated for opening the second door; and a first control means (item 16) for switching the first door and the second door from a locked condition to an unlocked condition when the ID information is verified as correct information by the judging means and sending an opening operation signal (sent via conductors 17 for example) from the opening operation means. The system of Van den Boom is directed to a vehicle with a passenger side and a driver side doors as disclosed in drawings. Van den Boom does not teach the use of two doors inline with

Art Unit: 2835

one another on one side of the vehicle and one additional door located on the opposite side of the vehicle, nor does Van den Boom teach the unlocking or switching of the doors on one side of the vehicle without the switching of the additional door to the unlocked position. Okada teaches typical four-door sedan type vehicle comprising two doors inline with one another on one side of the vehicle (see Fig. 1) and additional doors at the opposite side of the vehicle (see doors 1-4). Okada further teaches only those doors on the side which an authorized user approaches is switched from a locked to an unlocked position (see column 2 lines 60-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the system of Van den Boom to operate on a four door vehicle similar to that seen in Okada and to only unlock the doors on the side of the vehicle which is needed by the user to prevent an unauthorized third party from gaining access to the vehicle.

With respect to claim 2 Van den Boom teaches the device of claim 1 Van den Boom does not teach the use of a second control means for the second door, while Van den boom teaches a latching mechanism to enable the doors to close and lock or unlock or release when the ID information is verified as correct information by the judging means, and the opening operation signal from the opening operation means is input. Okada teaches (item 76) a second controller to control the operation of a latching mechanism. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Van den Boom to include an additional control means to control the latching mechanism associated with the second door in order to save power by reducing transmission length of power signals.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,401

Art Unit: 2835

With respect to claim 6 Van den Boom teaches the object detecting means is comprised of the electrical capacitance sensor for detecting a human approach.

With respect to claim 8 Van den Boom teaches the portable station carried by a user of the vehicle includes an antenna (item 24), a sending (item 21) and receiving circuit (item 22) and a key control means (items 23 and or 25). Van den Boom does not teach separate sending and receiving antennas. The use of separate antennas for transmitting and receiving is well known in the art see for example Okada et al. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Van den Boom to include the use of two separate antennas in order to transmit and receive simultaneously for example.

With respect to claim 9 Van den Boom teaches the first control means (item 16) provided to the vehicle is connected to the receiving means (item 15) and the sending means.

With respect to claim 10 Van den Boom as modified by Okada teaches the second control means provided to the second door is connected to the opening operation means in order to operate the door when the correct ID is received.

With respect to claim 14 Van den Boom as modified by Okada teaches a plurality of doors (Okada doors 1-4) are provided on the opposite side of the vehicle, and the first control means switches the first door and the second door from the locked condition to the unlocked condition without (see column 2 lines 60-65) switching the plural doors on the other side of the vehicle from the locked condition to the unlocked condition when the ID information is verified as correct information by the judging means, and

Application/Control Number: 10/722,401

Art Unit: 2835

either an object detecting signal from the object detecting means or an opening operation signal from the opening operation means is input thereinto.

Claims 13 and 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Van den Boom et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,075,294) in view of Okada et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,552,649) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Williams, Jr. et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,091,162) Van den Boom as modified by Okada teaches the system of claim 2 but does not teach the slide type rear door on the side of the vehicle. The use of slide type doors is well known in the art particularly on van type vehicles. Williams teaches a minivan equipped with a sliding door and controlled by a remote control means to actuate the sliding of the door when correct signal is sent. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use the system of Van den Boom as modified by Okada to control the operation of a sliding door in order to use the increase the security of minivan type vehicles.

With respect to claim 7 Van den Boom teaches the object detecting means is comprised of the electrical capacitance sensor for detecting a human approach.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/722,401

Art Unit: 2835

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 7

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Steriner teaches a device similar to that of the claimed invention (U.S. Pat. No. 6,577,226), Leda et al. teaches a device of particular relevance to the claimed invention (U.S. Pat. No. 6,933,831)

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Rutland-Wallis whose telephone number is 571-272-5921. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30AM-6:00PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn D. Feild can be reached on 571-272-2092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,401 Page 8

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

**MRW** 

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER